

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FIS920030193US1 (16928) 9525 Herve Y. Kermel 10/718,381 11/20/2003 **EXAMINER** 23389 7590 09/19/2005 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE **400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530 2812

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/718,381	KERMEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812		

Advisory Action	10/718,381	KERMEL ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO	
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) ay reduce any	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set fo), to avoid dismissal on orth in 37 CFR 41.37(of the appeal. a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	,	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	•		ince because:	
13. Other:	(1 10/36/00 of FTO-1449) Paper	Walter L. Lindsay,	Jull-	
		Examiner Art Unit: 2812		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kim teaches a cleaning composition comprising sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide, that cleans a tungsten gate conductor, Fleming teaches the combination of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide in a range of 5:1 to 10:1 on a semiconductor material. The combination of Kim and Fleming show the claimed invention, both Kim and Fleming utilize these cleaning compositions to clean semiconductor material. Kim shows a similar process as set forth in claim 1, Fleming teaches the combination of the chemicals set forth in claim 1 which would be found with undue experimentation with respect to Kim.